

CORSO TEORICO-PRATICO PER LA GESTIONE OTTIMALE DEI PAZIENTI AFFETTI DA LINFOMA MANTELLARE, LINFOMA FOLLICOLARE E LEUCEMIA LINFATICA CRONICA

Torino, 21-22-23 maggio 2018

Coordinatore Umberto Vitolo AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino Presidio Molinette

Sede Aula CERMS AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino Presidio Molinette Via Cherasco, 15 - Torino



Il trattamento del Linfoma Follicolare in prima linea

Dr.ssa Carola Boccomini

SC Ematologia – Dr. U. Vitolo AO Città della Salute e della Scienza

Azienda ospedaliero - Universitaria 🎕 Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino Torino, Italy



Standard treatment in advanced stage FL

Rituximab maintenance for 2 years in patients with high tumour burden follicular lymphoma responding to rituximab plus chemotherapy (PRIMA): a phase 3, randomised controlled trial



At the end of maintenance:

CR 71.5% in maintenance arm vs 52.2% in observation arm (p=0.0001)

Salles G, et al; Lancet 2011

Salles G, et al; Blood 2013 (abs)

Long Term Follow–up of the PRIMA Study: Half of Patients Receiving Rituximab Maintenance Remain Progression Free at 10 Years





- ▶ 51% of pts in R-maintenance arm (vs 35%) free of disease progression
- Benefit of R-maintenance was significant in all patient strata
- ▶ Median TTNT not reached in Rituximab maintenance arm with 53% pts not having received a new treatment (vs 41%)
- No new safety signals were indentified with additional 4 years of FU
- > OS was identical (80%) in each arm

Obtaining truly durable response with 1st line induction and R-maintenance remains an appealing treatment strategy for FL pts





Best chemotherapy induction regimen?

R-CVP Versus R-CHOP Versus R-FM for the Initial Treatment of Patients With Advanced-Stage Follicular Lymphoma: Results of the FOLL05 Trial Conducted by the Fondazione Italiana Linfomi





Time (months)

neutropenia and second malignancies

Federico M, et al: JCO 2013



Long-Term Results of the FOLL05 Trial Comparing R-CVP Versus R-CHOP Versus R-FM for the Initial Treatment of Patients With Advanced-Stage Symptomatic Follicular Lymphoma



Median follow-up 7 years





Luminari S, et al: JCO 2018

Long-Term Results of the FOLL05 Trial Comparing R-CVP Versus R-CHOP Versus R-FM for the Initial Treatment of Patients With Advanced-Stage Symptomatic Follicular Lymphoma





Long-term FU of FOLL05 trial confirms the favourable outcome of advanced-stage FL treated with immunochemotherapy

The three study arms had similar OS but different activity and toxicity profiles
 Pts initially treated with R-CVP had higher risk of lymphoma progression and additional therapy compared to R-CHOP

Pts treated with R-FM had higher risk of dying as a results of causes unrelated to lymphoma progression



Luminari S, et al: JCO 2018

Randomized trial of bendamustine-rituximab or R-CHOP/R-CVP in first-line treatment of indolent NHL or MCL: the BRIGHT study

Randomized, no-inferiority phase 3 study

447 pts (314 FL pts)

- Dose intensity ≥96% for all drugs ,except for PDN and VCR
- Dose reduction for AEs more common for Bendamustine and VCR
- Neutropenia was the most common reason for treatment delays across the groups
- Safety profile was different according to treatment
- Peripheral neuropathy or constipation more frequent in R-CHOP/R-CVP arms
- Hypersensitivity more frequent in BR arm

BR is not-inferior to standard therapy in term of CR and OR rates.

. Too ing po aa	ays i-o	P. 100 mg	po uays	1-0	
	-	-			

		CR	CR + part	ial response
Histologic subtype, n/N (%)	BR	R-CHOP/R-CVP	BR	R-CHOP/R-CVP
Indolent NHL	49/178 (28)	43/174 (25)	173/178 (97)	160/174 (92)
Follicular	45/148 (30)	37/149 (25)	147/148 (>99)	140/149 (94)
Marginal zone	5/25 (20)	4/17 (24)	23/25 (92)	12/17 (71)
Lymphoplasmacytic	0/5	1/6 (17)	3/5 (60)	6/6 (100)
MCL	17/34 (50)	9/33 (27)*	32/34 (94)	28/33 (85)*





Pts responding to BR who received Rituximab maintenance had a significantly better PFS (HR 0.50; p = 0.0295) than pts who did not receive Rituximab maintenance

> Pts responding to R-CHOP/R-CVP who received Rituximab maintenance had a trend towards better PFS (HR 0.66; p = 0.1443) than pts who did not receive maintenance

OS tended to be better in pts assigned to Rituximab maintenance

> These results support the use of Rituximab maintenance also after BR therapy





Kahl BS, Blood 2017 (Abs)

Four Versus Two Years of Rituximab Maintenance (R-maintenance) Following Bendamustine Plus Rituximab (B-R): Initial Results of a Prospective, Randomized Multicenter Phase 3 Study in First-Line Follicular Lymphoma (the StiL NHL7-2008 MAINTAIN study)



Stil NHL 7-2008 - MAINTAIN

▶ 612 FL pts – stage II bulky, III or IV disease Pts. registered: n = 611 Median age 60 years Induction pts treated with up to 6 cycles of BR + 2 additional R B-R All responding pts received 2 years R-maintenance Pts. evaluable: n = 552 Pts who tolerated treatment for the entire 2 years and who were still in CR were subsequently randomized to 2 years Rituximab 2 more years of R-maintenance or observation Pts. randomized: n = 350 6 x B-R Observation **R-MAINT.** Obser-R main-R FL vation tenance (2 yrs, q 2 mo) n = 172 **R-MAINT.** n = 178 2 X R (2 yrs, q 2 mo) SD, PD off study Pts. analyzed: n = 350 SD, PD off study



Rummel MJ, Blood 2017 (Abs)

Four Versus Two Years of Rituximab Maintenance (R-maintenance) Following Bendamustine Plus Rituximab (B-R): Initial Results of a Prospective, Randomized Multicenter Phase 3 Study in First-Line Follicular Lymphoma (the StiL NHL7-2008 MAINTAIN study)



Median FU: 36 months from randomization (75 months from registration)

- Median PFS and OS not reached in either arms
- PFS appears superior with 4 years vs 2 years of R-maintenance but there was no difference in OS between groups



▶ A historical comparison for PFS between MAINTAIN study and the former StiL NHL1 study (BR vs R-CHOP) appears to favor R-maintenance



Rummel MJ, Blood 2017 (Abs)

A phase II trial of lenalidomide plus rituximab in previously untreated follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL): CALGB 50803 (Alliance)

ORR: 95% with CR rate 72% Median FU: 5 years 2- and 5-yrs PFS: 86% and 70% respectively 5-yrs OS 100%

▶ Lenalidomide plus Rituximab was associated with low rate of grade 3-4 toxicity, leading a CR rate and a PFS similar to chemotherapy-based regimens and may represent a reasonable alternative to immunochemotherapy in previously untreated FL.



Martin P, et al: Ann Oncol 2017

Events = 16

Events=7

Events=9

5

Overall

FLIPI-low

FLIPI-int/high

Years from study entry



- R-Chemo according to investigator choice of R-CHOP, R-CVP, R-B
- R + Lenalidomide 20 mg x 6 cycles; if CR then 10 mg; if PR 20 mg x further 3-6 cycles and then 10 mg for up to 18 cycles
- Co-primary end-points
 - surrogate end-point: CR/CRu rate at 1.5 years
 - PFS



NCT01476787. Available from: http://clinicaltrials.gov.

Obinutuzumab: GA-101

GA101 has increased direct cell death and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), as well as decreased complement dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) compared with non-glycoengineered, type I mAbs







1202 enrolled pts

Median age 58-60 years (range 23-88)



Pts and disease characteristics

Characteristic	R-chemo, n=601	G-chemo, n=601
Median age, years (range)	58 (23–85)	60 (26–88)
Male, % (n)	46.6% (280)	47.1% (283)
Ann Arbor stage at diagnosis, % (n) I II III IV	1.3% (8)* 7.4% (44)* 35.0% (209)* 56.3% (336)*	1.7% (10) [†] 6.9% (41) [†] 34.8% (208) [†] 56.7% (339) [†]
FLIPI risk group, % (n) Low (0–1) Intermediate (2) High (≥3)	20.8% (125) 37.1% (223) 42.1% (253)	21.3% (128) 37.3% (224) 41.4% (249)
B symptoms, % (n)	34.3% (206) [‡]	33.4% (201)
Bone marrow involvement, % (n)	49.3% (295)†	53.7% (318) [§]
Extranodal involvement, % (n)	65.9% (396)	65.2% (392)
Bulky disease (≥7cm), % (n)	45.2% (271) [‡]	42.5% (255) [‡]
Median (range) time from diagnosis to randomization, months	1.4 (0–168.1)	1.5 (0.1–121.6) [¶]



Response rates at the end of induction

% (n); 95% Cl	R-chemo, n=601	G- chemo, n=601
ORR	86.9% (522); 83.9, 89.5	88.5% (532); 85.7, 91.0
CR	23.8% (143); 20.4, 27.4	19.5% (117); 16.4, 22.9
PR	63.1% (379)	69.1% (415)
SD	1.3% (8)	0.5% (3)
PD	4.0% (24)	2.3% (14)
Not evaluable / missing	3.5% (21) / 4.3% (26)	4.0% (24) / 4.7% (28)



3-yrs PFS Median FU 34.5 months



34% reduction in the risk of progression, relapse or death







3-yrs OS





Event	Overall Trial;		Induction Phase		Maintenance and Observation Phases	
	Obinutuzumab Group (N = 595)	Rituximab Group (N=597)	Obinutuzumab Group (N= 595)	Rituximab Group (N=597)	Obinutuzumab Group (N=548)	Rituximab Group (N= 535)
No. of events	10,311	9343	7012	6533	3002	2578
Patients with ≥ 1 adverse event — no. (%)						
Any event	592 (99.5)	587 (98.3)	580 (97.5)	577 (96.6)	501 (91.4)	458 (85.6)
Event of grade 3 to 5	444 (74.6)	405 (67.8)	357 (60.0)	336 (56.3)	205 (37.4)	169 (31.6)
Event of grade 5‡	24 (4.0)	20 (3.4)∬	4 (0.7)	3 (0.5)	10 (1.8)	10 (1.9)
Patients with \geq 1 serious adverse event — no. (%)	274 (46.1)	238 (39.9)	166 (27.9)	144 (24.1)	134 (24.5)	110 (20.6)
Treatment-related adverse event — no. (%)						
Any event	564 (94.8)	547 (91.6)	3 			
Event leading to withdrawal of treatment	75 (12.6)	65 (10.9)	-			—
Event leading to any dose reduction	103 (17.3)	89 (14.9)	17 <u></u>	12-12	<u></u>	_
Serious adverse event leading to withdrawal of treat- ment — no. (%)	44 (7.4)	36 (6.0)	1	8777	-	-
Serious adverse event leading to dose reduction — no. (%)	12 (2.0)	10 (1.7)	2			

More pts in GA101-group had grade 3-5 AE or SAEs but AEs that led to the

discontinuation of treatment were similar in the 2 arms



Category	All Adverse Events		Adverse Events of Grade 3 to 5		Serious Adverse Events	
	Obinutuzumab Group (N= 595)	Ritux imab Group (N = 597)	Obinutuzumab Group (N=595)	Rituximab Group (N=597)	Obinutuzumab Group (N= 595)	Rituximab Group (N=597)
			number of <i>µ</i>	patients (percent)		
Infection*	460 (77.3)	418 (70.0)	119 (20.0)	93 (15.6)	108 (18.2)	86 (14.4)
Neutropenia	301 (50.6)	269 (45.1)	273 (45.9)	236 (39.5)	50 (8.4)	44 (7.4)
Infusion-related event†						
Any event	406 (68.2)	349 (58.5)	74 (12.4)	40 (6.7)	33 (5.5)	14 (2.3)
Antibody-related event	353 (59.3)	292 (48.9)	63 (10.6)	30 (5.0)	28 (4.7)	12 (2.0)
Tumor lysis syndrome	6 (1.0)	3 (0.5)	6 (1.0)	3 (0.5)	3 (0.5)	1 (0.2)
Cardiac event:	78 (13.1)	58 (9.7)	22 (3.7)	17 (2.8)	26 (4.4)	12 (2.0)
Thrombocytopenia	68 (11.4)	45 (7.5)	36 (6.1)	16 (2.7)	4 (0.7)	1 (0.2)
Second neoplasm§	43 (7.2)	30 (5.0)	28 (4.7)	16 (2.7)	31 (5.2)	17 (2.8)
Nonmelanoma skin cancer	18 (3.0)	14 (2.3)	7 (1.2)	3 (0.5)	9 (1.5)	3 (0.5)
Hematologic event¶	6 (1.0)	0	6 (1.0)	0	6 (1.0)	0
Other	22 (3.7)	18 (3.0)	17 (2.9)	15 (2.5)	18 (3.0)	16 (2.7)
Myelodysplastic syndrome	2 (0.3)	0	2 (0.3)	0	2 (0.3)	0
Gastrointestinal perforation	4 (0.7)	3 (0.5)	3 (0.5)	0	3 (0.5)	0
Hemorrhagic event	57 (9.6)	62 (10.4)	5 (0.8)	7 (1.2)	6 (1.0)	5 (0.8)

The most common AEs of any grade in the whole trial were: infusion-related reactions (GA 59% vs R 48.9%), nausea and neutropenia



Event	Overall Trial;		Induction Phase		Maintenance and Observation Phases	
	Obinutuzumab Group (N = 595)	Rituximab Group (N=597)	Obinutuzumab Group (N= 595)	Rituximab Group (N = 597)	Obinutuzumab Group (N=548)	Rituximab Group (N= 535)
Grade 3 to 5 event, according to chemotherapy regi- men — no./total no. (%)						
Neutropenia						
Bendamustine		<u>a</u>	73/338 (21.6)	87/338 (25.7)	49/312 (15.7)	29/305 (9.5)
СНОР			124/193 (64.2)	103/203 (50.7)	36/179 (20.1)	26/187 (13.9)
CVP			24/61 (39.3)	13/56 (23.2)	5/57 (8.8)	2/43 (4.7)
Infection¶		<u></u>				
Bendamustine	<u></u>	<u>14 -</u> S	27/338 (8.0)	26/338 (7.7)	52/312 (16.7)	39/305 (12.8)
СНОР			14/193 (7.3)	13/203 (6.4)	7/179 (3.9)	11/187 (5.9)
CVP		—	3/61 (4.9)	4/56 (7.1)	5/57 (8.8)	1/43 (2.3)
Second neoplasm		<u> 21 -</u> 12				
Bendamustine		5774	0	0	21/312 (6.7)	18/305 (5.9)
СНОР			0	0	8/179 (4.5)	8/187 (4.3)
CVP			0	0	0	1/43 (2.3)

▶ AEs were generally less common during maintenance phase than during induction

During induction phase: most frequent AEs were neutropenia, infusion-related reactions and febbrile neutropenia

During maintenance phase: most common AE/SAE were neutropenia and pneumonia



Event	Overall Trial;		Inductio	n Phase	Maintenance and Observation Phases	
	Obinutuzumab Group (N=595)	Rituximab Group (N=597)	Obinutuzumab Group (N= 595)	Rituximab Group (N=597)	Obinutuzumab Group (N=548)	Rituximab Group (N= 535)
Grade 3 to 5 event, according to chemotherapy regi- men — no./total no. (%)						
Neutropenia						
Bendamustine		<u>2000</u> 1	73/338 (21.6)	87/338 (25.7)	49/312 (15.7)	29/305 (9.5)
СНОР			124/193 (64.2)	103/203 (50.7)	36/179 (20.1)	26/187 (13.9)
CVP		-	24/61 (39.3)	13/56 (23.2)	5/57 (8.8)	2/43 (4.7)
Infection¶		<u>10</u> 12				
Bendamustine	<u></u>	<u></u> 2	27/338 (8.0)	26/338 (7.7)	52/312 (16.7)	39/305 (12.8)
СНОР	-		14/193 (7.3)	13/203 (6.4)	7/179 (3.9)	11/187 (5.9)
CVP		<u> </u>	3/61 (4.9)	4/56 (7.1)	5/57 (8.8)	1/43 (2.3)
Second neoplasm		<u>111</u> 45				
Bendamustine		5	0	0	21/312 (6.7)	18/305 (5.9)
СНОР			0	0	8/179 (4.5)	8/187 (4.3)
CVP			0	0	0	1/43 (2.3)

Bendamustine associated with higher rates of infection or 2nd neoplasm during maintenance/FU whereas CHOP with higher rate of neutropenia during induction phase



Comparison of contrast-enhanced CT-based response with PET assessment after first-line therapy for follicular lymphoma in the Phase III GALLIUM study

Assessment criteria, n (%)	R-chemo, n=298	G-chemo, n=297	p-value
CT CR response ^{1*}	82 (27.5)	96 (32.3)	0.28
PET CR/CMR response			
IHP 2007 ¹	178 (59.7)	212 (71.4)	0.006
Lugano 2014 ^{2,3†}	217 (72.8)	232 (78.1)	0.18

▶ There is a higher PET-CR rate with G-Chemo vs R-chemo according to IHP 2007 criteria and a strong trend favouring G-chemo with Lugano 2014 criteria



Comparison of contrast-enhanced CT-based response with PET assessment after first-line therapy for follicular lymphoma in the Phase III GALLIUM study





Trotman J, et al

Comparison of contrast-enhanced CT-based response with PET assessment after first-line therapy for follicular lymphoma in the Phase III GALLIUM study

Multivariate analysis for PFS and OS: Lugano 2014 criteria

	PFS, n=5	08	OS,† n=519		
Parameter [*]	HR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	
PET status (non-CMR vs CMR)	0.2 (0.1, 0.3)	<0.0001	0.2 (0.1, 0.5)	<0.0001	
Treatment arm (R-chemo vs G-chemo)	0.6 (0.4, 0.8)	0.005	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)	0.42	
Induction chemo (Benda vs CHOP/CVP)	0.9 (0.6, 1.5)	0.76	0.5 (0.2, 1.1)	0.09	
FLIPI category (Low vs intermediate)	1.1 (0.6, 1.9)	0.84	0.8 (0.3, 2.1)	0.61	
(Low vs high)	1.6 (0.9, 2.7)	0.09	2.0 (0.8, 4.8)	0.13	



Early Relapse of Follicular Lymphoma After Rituximab Plus Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Vincristine, and Prednisone Defines Patients at High Risk for Death: An Analysis From the National LymphoCare Study

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY



Pts with early progression had an increased risk of death with an HR=20.0

	R-CHOP				
Group	Total No.	No. of Deaths	HR	95% CI	Trand maintained off
Reference	420	44			Trend maintained afte
Early POD	110	57	_		adjustement for FLIP
FLIPI adjusted	110	57	6.44	4.33 to 9.58	
Unadjusted	420	44	7.17	4.83 to 10.65	



Casulo C, et al; JCO 2015

Early Disease Progression Predicts Poorer Survival in Patients with Follicular Lymphoma (FL) in the GALLIUM Study



- Explanatory analysis aimed to assess whether POD24 and POD at time points other than 24 months predicted OS in GALLIUM trial
- > The risk of death increased 26-fold following a POD24 event



Mortality risk was higher the earlier patient progressed but early progressors cannot be identified in advance

Analysis of POD24 in GALLIUM study confirms Casulo data



Early Disease Progression Predicts Poorer Survival in Patients with Follicular Lymphoma (FL) in the GALLIUM Study





 G-chemo was associated with a reduced relative risk of a POD24 event by 42% compared to R-chemo: demonstration of the superiority of G-chemo over R-chemo

Post-progression survival for POD24 pts appeared to be similar in the 2 arms: suggestion that post-progression survival is not compromised by 1st line G-chemo



Launonen A, et al; ASH 2017

Validation of POD24 As a Robust Early Clinical Endpoint of Poor Survival in Follicular Lymphoma: Results from the Follicular Lymphoma Analysis of Surrogacy Hypothesis (FLASH) Investigation Using Individual Data from 5,453 Patients on 13 Clinical Trials



POD24 was associated with poor subsequent OS (HR 5.24) after adjusting for gender, PS, FLIPI and B2M 90 80 70 y Survival, 60 Progression-Free 50 40 Events /Total Median (95% CI) HR (95% CI) 30 430/1179 8.4 (7.1-NE) 3.60 (3.14-4.12) 20 407/3590 15.4115.5-NE Reference o Farby PD HR & (UT 9-98 R%) 10 93.4 (92.3-94.3%) 87.5 (86.1-89.0%) Logrank P-value: <.0001 + Censor 10 Time (Years)

This trial validates early progression as robust indicator of poor FL survival

► Male gender, poor PS, high FLIPI score and elevated baseline B2M are predictors of early death and progression

POD24 can be used as early clinical endpoint in prospective clinical trials



Casulo C, et al; ASH 2017



- FLIPI or FLIPI2 or M7-FLIPI
- BCL2/IgH rearrangement
- Post-induction PET
- Minimal residual disease
- Post-induction PET + Minimal residual disease
- Total Metabolic Tumor Volume (TMTV)
- TMTV + post-induction PET

Integration of gene mutations in risk prognostication for patients receiving first-line immunochemotherapy for follicular lymphoma: a retrospective analysis of a prospective clinical trial and validation in a population-based registry

▶ 151 follicular lymphoma biopsy specimens within 1 year before beginning (R-CHOP) between 2000-2010





Pastore A, et al; Lancet oncol 2015

Clinicogenetic risk models predict early progression of follicular lymphoma after first-line immunochemotherapy



▶ 22%-30% of pts with POD24 were still not correctly identified as high risk by any of the pre-treatment risk models



Jurinovic V, et al; Blood 2018



~ • • •

Ladetto M, et al : Blood 2008 Ladetto M, et al : Blood 2013

Galimberti S, et al : Clin Cancer Res 2014

PET is strongly predictive of outcome after first-line immunochemotherapy for Follicular Lymphoma

The prognostic role of post-induction FDG-PET in patients with follicular lymphoma: a subset analysis from the FOLL05 trial of the Fondazione Italiana Linfomi (FIL)



Tychyj-Pinel C, et al: Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2014

Luminari S, et al : Annals Oncol 2014

Positron emission tomography response and minimal residual disease impact on progression-free survival in patients with follicular lymphoma. A subset analysis from the FOLL05 trial of the Fondazione Italiana Linfomi

♦ 41 pts with data on both PET and BCL2/IGH at the EOT (FOLL05)

Median FU: 53 months





Luminari S, et al : Hematologica 2016

Baseline Metabolic Tumor Volume Predicts Outcome in High–Tumor-Burden Follicular Lymphoma: A Pooled Analysis of Three Multicenter Studies





Meignan M, et al; JCO 2016

96

Baseline Metabolic Tumor Volume Predicts Outcome in High–Tumor-Burden Follicular Lymphoma: A Pooled Analysis of Three Multicenter Studies





Meignan M, et al; JCO 2016

Prognostic model for high tumor burden follicular lymphoma integrating baseline and end induction PET: a LYSA/FIL study



Cottereau AS, et al : Blood 2018



FOLL12 study: A phase 3 multicenter, randomized study comparing standard treatment with Rituximab maintenance vs response adapted post-induction treatment as first line treatment in advanced FL









 In the last decade FIL has achieved good experience in treating elderly FL patients with brief chemoimmunotherapy strategy

An ideal and specifically devised therapy for elderly patients must be well tolerated, highly effective with low toxicity, feasible in an outpatient setting and brief lasting





 Retrospective analysis to compare two FIL trials specifically devised for elderly advanced stage FL requiring therapy





FLE09 treatment scheme



Sep 2009 – Nov 2011: 76 eligible pts

R-BM x 4 once a month Rituximab 375 mg/m² day 0 or 1 (day 8 on cycle 1) Mitoxantrone 8 mg/m² iv day 1 Bendamustine 90 mg/m² iv days 1-2

Rituximab x 4 once a week



Clinical and molecular follow-up (6, 12, 18, 24 months)

Mundipharma provided Rituximab and Bendamustine free and partially supported the study



FONDAZIONE ITALIANA LINFOMI



ML17638 treatment scheme

























3-yrs PFS according to trial







FONDAZIONE ITALIANA LINFOMI



3-yrs OS according to trail







FONDAZIONE ITALIANA LINFOMI



Conclusions



- A brief chemoimmunotherapy strategy can induce high CR rate and prolonged PFS in elderly untreated advanced stage FL patients
- Both regimen are effective and safe but
- R-BM regimen seems to induce higher CR rate and better PFS with reduced toxicity
- Substitution of Fludarabine with Bendamustine allows curative approach to an older patient cohort
- Overall, brief chemoimmunotherapy strategy confirms its effective and safety profile in comparison to standard approach in elderly patients

	R-BM x 4	R-FND x 4	B-R x 6 ⁽¹⁾	R-CVP/R-CHOP x6-8 ⁽²⁾
Median age	71 (65-79)	65 (62-69)	60 (51-67)	73/70 (65-80)
ORR (CR)	94% (78%)	75% (60%)	93% (40%)*	88%/94%
2-yrs PFS	76%	73%	75%	71%/79%
2-yrs PFS for FLIPI≥3	76%	n.a.	n.a.	60%/79%
Neutropenia (grade 3-4)	57%	61%	29%	n.a.
Neutropenic fever	9%	3%	n.a.	n.a.
Infections (all grade)	13%	22%	37%	n.a.

(1) Rummel MJ, Lancet 2013

* Data on all histological subgroups

(2) Unpublished data by courtesy of Friedberg J on the behalf of National Lymphocare Study (NLCS)





Conclusions



- A brief chemoimmunotherapy strategy can induce high CR rate and prolonged PFS in elderly untreated advanced stage FL patients
- Both regimen are effective and safe but
- R-BM regimen seems to induce higher CR rate and better PFS with reduced toxicity



- Substitution of Fludarabine with Bendamustine allows curative approach to an older patient cohort
- Overall, brief chemoimmunotherapy strategy confirms its effective and safety profile in comparison to standard approach in elderly patients

	R-BM x 4	R-FND x 4	FIL FOLL05		
			R-CVP x8 n=29	R-CHOP x 6 + 2R n=31	R-FM x 6 +2 R n=37
Age	71 (65-79)	65 (62-69)	>65	>65	>65
3-yrs PFS	67%	62%	55%	61%	59%



CONCLUSIONS

 Excellent results with standard chemoimmunotherapy followed by Rituximab maintenance

- Clinical significant heterogeneity of Follicular Lymphoma patients
- Find a tool for identification of high risk patients is an unmet need
- ► A new generation of clinical trials for 1st line Follicular Lymphoma is warranted:
 - Low risk: excellent efficacy; the goal is not to harm
 - High risk: efficacy should be improved (new drugs in first line)



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION